

## Suffolk County Council meeting Thursday 11 July 2024, vote taken on Motion 2.

Motion 2 – Proposed by Councillor Simon Harley and seconded by Councillor Andrew Stringer.

Motion Text: “This council recognises that developer contributions through the infrastructure levies or from legal agreements including s.106 are a vital way to ensure that pressure put on the county’s infrastructure by new developments are adequately mitigated by improvements and new projects where necessary.

Sometimes, however, the system of securing this funding and the process by which these infrastructure improvements are undertaken are subject to delays at the planning, design and implementation phases, whether this is due to difficulty in securing the monies from developers, or by other authorities, or capacity at Suffolk County Council for beginning and completing the work.

Suffolk County Council needs to ensure works are not substandard or poorly designed and follow national and local guidance such as the Suffolk Design Streets Guide.

Works that are not well thought through/designed, or delayed for long periods not only cause problems locally, but they also lead to a great deal of frustration for residents, affecting local feelings about new developments and ultimately, communities’ willingness to accept new housing.

This council, therefore, pledges:

- To ensure that guidance on developer contributions is updated and that sufficient and appropriate infrastructure improvements are evidenced and identified at the earliest possible stage in the planning process and, where local and neighbourhood plans are formed, in consultation with the local county councillor (who can then seek community feedback)
- To ensure that where funding has been secured via infrastructure levies S106 and, where appropriate, S.38 and S278 highway agreements, works that are identified are then programmed and carried out in a timely manner and to a high standard
- To ensure the implementation of schemes are completed in a reasonable timescale
- To use all relevant legal powers where needed, to ensure completion of infrastructure projects and travel planning that are conditioned as part of planning permissions.”

<b>Total results</b>	
For	42
Against	
Abstain	9

## Conservative Group

<b>Councillor's Name</b>	<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Edward Back	For		
Peter Beer	For		
Bobby Bennett	For		
Stuart Bird	For		
Elaine Bryce	For		
Stephen Burroughes			
Nadia Cenci			Abstain
Jenny Ceresa			
Chris Chambers			
Judy Cloke	For		
Andy Drummond			
Robert Everitt			
Philip Faircloth-Mutton	For		
James Finch	For		
Jessica Fleming	For		
Mick Fraser	For		
David Goldsmith	For		
Peter Gould			
Georgia Hall			
Liz Harsant	For		
Ryan Harvey			Abstain
T-J Haworth-Culf			
Matthew Hicks	For		
Rachel Hood			
Beccy Hopfensperger	For		
Christopher Hudson			
Michael Ladd	For		
Stuart Lawson			
Joe Mason	For		
Debbie McCallum			
Patti Mulcahy			Abstain
Sam Murray			Abstain
David Nettleton			
Alexander Nicoll	For		
Colin Noble	For		
Kay Oakes			Abstain
James Reeder	For		
Andrew Reid	For		
Debbie Richards	For		
David Roach			Abstain
Keith Robinson	For		
Richard Rout	For		
Richard Smith MVO	For		
Karen Soons			
Heike Sowa			Abstain
Joanna Spicer	For		

Lance Stanbury			Abstain
Jamie Starling			
Melanie Vigo di Gallidoro			
Paul West	For		
Steve Wiles			Abstain
Nathan Wilson	For		

### Green, Liberal Democrat and Independent Group

Councillor's Name	For	Against	Abstain
Seamus Bennett	For		
Jessie Carter			
Annette Dunning	For		
Simon Harley	For		
Richard Kemp	For		
Ruth Leach			
Ash Lever	For		
Robert Lindsay			
Inga Lockington	For		
Victor Lukaniuk	For		
Andy Mellen			
Penny Otton	For		
Keith Scarff	For		
Andrew Stringer	For		
Caroline Topping			
Keith Welham	For		

### Labour Group

Councillor's Name	For	Against	Abstain
Sarah Adams	For		
Rob Bridgeman	For		
Peter Byatt	For		
Elizabeth Johnson			
Sandy Martin	For		
Lucy Smith			

### Ungrouped Councillor

Councillor's Name	For	Against	Abstain
Peter Thompson			