**Suffolk County Council Culture Project Fund**

**Scoring: Large Culture Project Fund – applications over £15,001 up to £50,000**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Scoring Criteria** | **Score** **0-5** |
| **Deliver against at least 2 SCC priorities** |  |
| **Have a track record of evaluation, evidencing impact** |  |
| **Identification of need using data** |  |
| **Ability to deliver direct to a community or area of need** |  |
| **Cash match funding at least 10% of bid to SCC** |  |
| **Be working in partnership with at least one other organisation** |  |
| **Total Score:** | **/30** |

**Scoring assessment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Outstanding Application: *Covers all areas required with strong evidence, comprehensive plans, secured match funding, established partnerships – the applicant will deliver.***Contributes directly to two of SCC’s priorities.Track record, evaluation, using nationally recognised models, shows a significant impact.Identification of need: clear identification and understanding of need, reflecting local, regional or national data.Strong evidence of ability to deliver directly to a community or area of need.At least 10% match funding secured Partnership in place, with a track record of collaboration, evidenced by a letter of support that details the effectiveness of an ongoing relationship. | **5 Points**  |
| **Excellent application: *Gives real confidence that the applicant has the skills and knowledge to deliver, includes strong evidence, plans, secured match funding and partnerships.*** Demonstrates a thorough understanding of two of SCC’s priorities. Track record, evaluation, using nationally recognised models, shows a positive impact.Identification of need: identification and understanding of need, reflecting local, regional or national data. Evidence of ability to deliver directly to a community or area of need.At least 10% match funding applied for.Partnership in place, evidenced by a letter of support that details a collaborative approach. | **4 Points**  |
| **Good application: *Gives confidence that the applicant has the relevant skills and knowledge to deliver, includes evidence, plans, match funding and partnerships.***Demonstrates a good understanding of 2 of SCC’s priorities. Track record, evaluation – using nationally recognised models - shows an impact. Identification of need – identification and some understanding of need, reflecting local, regional or national data.Comprehensive plans to deliver directly to a community or area of need.10% match funding identified but not applied for.Partnership in place, evidenced by a letter of support describing the partnership. | **3 Points**  |
| **Acceptable application: *Shows that the applicant understands the skills and knowledge required to deliver, includes some evidence, plans, match funding and partnership.*** Demonstrates an understanding of two of SCC’s priorities. Track record, evaluation – uses own model and shows an impact.Identification of need: some identification and understanding of need.Plans to deliver directly to a community or area of need.Partnership in place, evidenced by a letter of support.Clear acceptable reason why no match funding is possible. | **2 Points**  |
| **Less than satisfactory** **application: *Reservation of the applicant’s ability, skills and knowledge to deliver the project. Little or no evidence, plans, match funding or partnership.***Demonstrates some understanding of two of SCC’s priorities. Track record – limited track record, no evaluation.Identification of need: no use of local, regional or national data.No plans to deliver directly to a community or area of need.Poor reason why no match funding is possible.No evidence of partnership working. | **1 Point**  |
| **Unacceptable application: *Lack of convincing evidence of ability to deliver the project. No confidence in the skills and knowledge to deliver. No plans, match funding and partnerships***No understanding of SCC’s priorities. No track record – no evaluation.No identification of need – no use of local, regional or national data.No plans to directly deliver activity.No reason why no match funding is possible.No evidence of partnership working. | **0 Points**  |